

Inject Security into Source Code How 2018 Will Shift Your Security Priorities

ActiveState



Farshad Abasi, CTO Mirai Security

Jacek Materna, CTO Assembla

Jeff Rouse, Dir. Product Management ActiveState





Where we've been

- > Track record:
 - > 20 years working with open source languages & enterprises, 97% of Fortune 500 companies trust us



- > 5 Languages: Python, Perl, Tcl, Go & Ruby
- > 64+ Platforms: Windows, Mac, Linux, AIX, Solaris, HP-UX...
- > Solutions to help enterprises benefit from open source





Where we're going

Enable enterprises to keep up with the pace of coder innovation by removing friction at all points in the SDLC:

- > Streamline configuration of open source languages
- > Allow control of application security & compliance
- Establish integrity at all stages in the software development lifecycle (SDLC)

A SaaS Platform to streamline the entire dev process & make things as secure as possible, lead with a Python runtime offering.





Injecting Security Into Source Code



Farshad Abasi | 2018-01-23 | v0.1

Shifting security to the left

- About 56% of all software defects arise during the requirement phase, 27% during design phase, and only 7% during development
- Defects identified and resolved during requirement & design are about 100 times less costly to fix than those discovered after
- Goal is to address security earlier, not create more work for devs
- Shift left does not mean the roles and responsibilities of quality and security go away





MIRAI

Continuous security in a CI / CD environment

MIRAI

- Security tools should be integrated into the CI /CD pipeline
- Integration allows "low hanging fruit" to be caught earlier and regularly
- Can't afford to wait until the end of the build-and-release pipeline to perform a detailed security scan
- Information security platforms should expose functionality via APIs
 - Allows for automation and integration of security into DevOps and the developer's preferred tool chain

Making security easier for Dev teams

- Start with secure development and training
 - Don't make developers become security experts or switch tools
- Adopt the concept of people-centric security
- Empower developers to take personal responsibility for security
 - Compensate for this with monitoring, following a "trust and verify" mindset

MIRA

- Use of frameworks and tools to handle security
 - Input validation to be done by development framework or plug-in
 - CSRF tokens to be generated, inserted and verified by framework
 - IDE plug-ins

Microservices architecture and impact on security

MIRAI

- Microservices break larger services/apps into smaller independent ones
 - Loosely coupled as opposed to tightly coupled
 - May not include any security controls that were previously part of the larger service/application (e.g. authentication, authorization, input validation)
- Typically developed in an agile manner by DevOps teams
 - Need to ensure some security is built into the dev pipeline to catch low hanging fruit
- Should enforce security at a single point (i.e. gateway) and maintain end-to-end trust throughout the journey

• Use of trust-tokens

 End-to-end security assessment across the entire userjourney involving different microservices should be performed

Maintain a security focus without slowing delivery

Incorporation of security into DevOps/Agile should speed up the

- overall release process
- Incorporating as much security as possible into the DevOps/Agile workflow through automation
 - Should be done transparently
 - Must preserve the agility and speed of DevOps/Agile environment
- Shift-left security increases delivery speed by reducing:
 - number of eyeballs at a given time, resulting in smaller/efficient teams
 - total gates with manual checks

MIRAI

Immutable infrastructure and impact on security

MIRAI

- Traditional mutable systems are patched and maintained
 - E.g. admins can SSH into a server and upgrade packages, adjust configuration, or push patches via an agent
- Immutable infrastructure components are replaced rather than changed
 - · Changes to the infrastructure (or even an admin account) are not allowed
 - If changes are required, a new server is built from a base image + packages
 - If changes are detected a violate a set criteria, that instance is replaced
- Immutability results in increased security
 - Patching/updating large number of servers is not required as you can create one image and push out new instances quickly
- Existing applications need to be re-architected to align with this model

Security of code in production

- Require manual approval in the pipeline to put sensitive components from dev into production
 - E.g. those handling sensitive data or functionality
- Use automated installers and uninstallers
- Deploy using a least privilege security model
- Apply change control and configuration management
 - Captures the baseline configuration to help identify malicious changes
 - Ability to track changes is useful from a security perspective
 - Can prevent unauthorized changes and roll back those that may have introduced security vulnerabilities

DevSecOps and injecting security into SDLC

- Barriers must be removed between security and application teams
 - Similar to how DevOps overcomes the divide between Dev and Ops teams
- Security requirements must be clearly communicated and easily integrated into the complete process
- Security review and testing must be integrated at multiple points in DevOps workflows



MIRA



DevSecOps

Shifting security "Left"

Software is eating the world. Companies are under pressure to move FAST.



While, Enterprises are spending more on cybersecurity than ever.

INSTACE CATO- IN CONTRACT CONTRACTOR CONTRA	Endpoint Security Endpoint Prevention CARGO DE CYLANCE deprinstinct Convest KASPERSKY3 Process PD3fe Microsoft Convest KASPERSKY3 Process PD3fe Microsoft Convest KASPERSKY3 Process PD3fe Microsoft Microsoft Converting One Matwarebytes Microsoft Converting One Matwarebytes Endpoint Detection & Response Endpoint Point Detection & Response Endpoint Detection & Res	Waratek @ PREVOTY SUCIES INSPOCUS ZENEDOS (Contess S.H. POR Carrysell Truck LAD L. HETSparker' Carres S.H.A. ergon DEAPOSecure FEIRTINET & SEWORKS (Carres S.H.A. ergon New Seworks (Carres S.H.A. ergon Vulnerability Assessment Dugcrowd WhiteSaurce WhiteHat RAPIDE STructware Carres
TUTOR Revenue Netwitch DATASHIELD PALADION CenturyLink esentire name	Web Security M Scisco SOPHOS Statement STrustware Constant Structure Constant Constant Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Constant Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Structure Constant Structur	AStraID MEDIAPRO GreatHorn DAE SYSTEMS (SPAMIN DOLL F CLOUDMARK (CRONDARK (CRONDARK (CRONDARK))) CONTROL FISHLARS (CYREN) VallMail
& Compliance	Image: Stand Stan	A Security A Security A Security A Mobile Securi

BUT, breaches are at an all time high.

"The dramatic increase in cyber attack frequency, complexity, and size over the past year suggests that the economics of hacking have turned a corner."

· Radware. 2017

"90% of security incidents relate to vulnerabilities in Code."

.va

Why?









Shadow IT





Companies have a Need for Speed.

💧 ASSEMBLA

DevOps.









Efficiencies that speed up software lifecycle.

🔥 ASSEMBLA

DevOps and Security silos



Controls and Security can no longer be side-lined.

ASSEMBLA

DevSecOps.



Value Value Dev _ Sec _ Ops.











Shift security "Left"



But, to reach DevSecOps your company must:

1.Adopt an automation culture
2.Deploy agile software lifecycle
3.Integrate security into your culture



Competition is driving faster release cycles





DevOps Cycle






DevSecOps







Security: Shift Left or Shift Out

#1 problem is time to market







Security must be baked in.

ActiveState



Security Automation (It's table stakes.)





Open Source: Accelerates Innovation but Introduces Risk



* Based on 2017 Black Duck Open Source Security and Risk Analysis audit.

ActiveState









Solution: Shift Issue Resolution Left



ActiveState

Dashboard	1
Warnings	4
Identities	C
Components	ń
Get the Plugin	×

ActiveState (Org)

U Session Started for Test Identity

O Scan Requested for Test Identity

U Session Started for Test Identity

9 Warnings Most severe	24 Out-of-date Components	2 Active Identities
A hpack 2.1.1	Most recently found	15 other inactive Nugget Development • 1 Session Running Test Identity • 8 Sessions Running
A tablib 0.11.4	urllib3 1.22 🖄	
A Django 1.11.1	idna 2.5 🖄	
A feedparser 4.1	certifi 2017.4.17 🖄	
	chardet 3.0.4 🖄	All identities →
A feedparser 4.1	urllib3 1.21.1 🖄	
II warnings →	All components →	
atest Activity		
O Session Started for Test I	dentity	3 months ago

3 months ago

3 months ago

3 months ago





Component Warnings 9

2 High Severity

hpack 2.1.1 running on Test Identity

Issue CVE-2016-6581 Last updated on Jan 27 2017 at 11:17:04

A HTTP/2 implementation built using any version of the Python HPACK library between v1.0.0 and v2.2.0 could be targeted for a denial of service attack, specifically a so-called "HPACK Bomb" attack. This attack occurs when an attacker inserts a header field that is exactly the size of the HPACK dynamic header table into the dynamic header table. The attacker can then send a header block that is simply repeated requests to expand that field in the dynamic table. This can lead to a gigantic compression ratio of 4,096 or better, meaning that 16kB of data can decompress to 64MB of data on the target machine.

tablib 0.11.4 running on Test Identity

Issue CVE-2017-2810 Last updated on Jun 27 2017 at 12:47:06

An exploitable vulnerability exists in the Databook loading functionality of Tablib 0.11.4. A yaml loaded Databook can execute arbitrary python commands resulting in command execution. An attacker can insert python into loaded yaml to trigger this vulnerability.





ActiveState

Dashboard	*
Warnings	A
Identities	
Components	ń.
Get the Plugin	ý

ActiveState (Org)

Components 31

These are all of the components encountered across all of your identities while monitoring your python app.

5 have Warnings		🖄 Out-of-date
urllib3 1.17 🖄	1 Low Severity	
Django 1.11.1 🖄	1 Medium Severity	
feedparser 4.1 🖄	5 Medium Severity	
hpack 2.1.1 🕅	1 High Severity	
tablib 0.11.4 🖄	1 High Severity	
26 other Compor	nents	🖾 Out-of-date
dna 2.5 🖄	markupsafe 1.0	six 1.10.0 🖄
certifi 2017.4.17 🖄	pyflakes 1.5.0 🖄	six 1.10.0 🖄
chardet 3.0.4 🖄	virtualenv 15.1.0	urllib3 1.22 🖄



Drill Down

 Nugget Development 1 Active Sessions 	1Medium Severity1Low Severity	10/10 Components Recognized Last scan: Oct 19 2017 at 08:16:06 Last active session: Oct 19 2017 at 08:16:03 ID: a3c0a81a-8f12-46ab-b58f-c7b3375cf88a
 Unnamed No Active Sessions 	Not Scanned	Last scan: Jan 31 2018 at 00:04:13 No sessions seen yet. ID: 9cdb3dde-b262-4e19-9c96-3665632b7b67
• 8 Active Sessions	2 High Severity	11/11 Components Recognized Last scan: Oct 19 2017 at 11:22:59
	5 Medium Severity	Last active session: Oct 20 2017 at 09:52:26
	1 Low Severity	ID: 9ed286a1-70ce-4ed7-a799-438d8f765d1b











Thank you to our panelists

Farshad Abasi, Mirai Security farshad.abasi@miraisecurity.com

Jacek Materna, Assembla jacek@assembla.com

Jeff Rouse, ActiveState jeffr@activestate.com





Find Us

Tel: Website: Twitter: Facebook: 1.866.631.4581 www.activestate.com @activestate /activestatesoftware

Early Access Signup: <u>https://start.activestate.com/early-access/</u>



